(1) (4)

CH 09

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G)

WRIT PETITION NO 3230 /2003

PETITIONER : Bhau Ram Dharmik,
S/o - Shri Beni Ram Dharmik,
Aged about 40 year,R/o Near
Bhagat Singh Chowk
Dongargarh Distt. Rajnandgaon
(C.G)

V/S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary of
Uchha Sikhcha, Takniki
Sikhcha,Jansakti Niyojan , Vigyan
and Prodyogik Vibhag
D.K.S. Building, Raipur.

2. Aditional Director
Higher Education ,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Sarju Kumar Rawat Asst. Gr. 3
Govt. College Pakhangur Distt.
Kanker Rajnandgaon(C.G.)

P. R. No. 3164/03
Presented by Shri Arun Kachhar
dated 13/10/03

13.10
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(20)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No 3230/03 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

17/10/2003

Heard Smt Renu Kochar for the petitioner.

Shri Rajesh Pandey, Govt. Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondents no.1 and 2.

By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution, the petitioner seeks to challenge his transfer order dated 6/10/2003, by which he has been transferred from Dongargarh to District Kanker. The petitioner is working as Grade-III in the Nehru College, Dongargarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been transferred without any administrative exigencies. He further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent no.1 to raise his grievances against his transfer.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the request seems to be just, reasonable and fair. The petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent no.1 raising all his grievances within a period of ten days from today and in turn the respondent no.1will decide the representation of the petitioner within a further period of one month after considering all his grievances with an objective manner and with reasonings.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner should not be relieved till the last date of this month. The prayer is reasonable, therefore, the implementation of the transfer order is stayed till the last date of this month, if he has not yet been relieved.

With the aforesaid observtions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

17/10/03

(21)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3230/03 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
HC	In view of the above, M.W.P. No.2946/03 and I.A. No.9485/03 stand disposed of. Parties are entitled to certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	